An International Scientific Research Journal

Original Research

Effect of adding different levels of Oleobiotec to the diet on production performance of broiler

Authors: Fadhil R. Abbas¹, Huda Q. Al-Himdany² and Ahmed A. Allaw³

ABSTRACT:

Institution: 1. College of Agriculture, Al-Qasim Al-Khadraa University, Iraq.

2. College of Agriculture, Baghdad University, Iraq.

3. College of Agriculture, Tikrit University, Iraq.

Corresponding author: Fadhil R. Abbas This study was conducted in the poultry field, Department of Animal Production, College of Agriculture, Al-Qasim Green University for the period from 12 February to 18 March 2016 to evaluate the production performance of broiler fed to diets containing various levels of Oleobiotec[®]. In this study, 192 chicks (Ross 308) one day old were randomly distributed to four treatments, each including three replicates of 16 birds, which were: control group T₁ (without addition) and the treatments T₂, T₃ and T₄ which received Oleobiotec[®] at concentrations 100, 150 and 200 mg/kg feed respectively. The results of this study indicated that the addition of Oleobiotec[®] to the broiler diet, particularly T₃ and T₄ enhanced the production performance of broiler especially in body weight and weight gain. Also, the results showed a significant improvement in the feed conversion ratio for T₃ (150 mg Oleobiotec[®] / kg feed). Also, the results revealed no significant effect in feed consumption rate in all treatments and for all periods except two weeks. It was concluded from the results of this experiment that's adding different levels of Oleobiotec[®] to the broiler diets enhanced the productive traits of birds especially for the treatment T₃.

Keywords:

Oleobiotec[®], Broiler (Ross 308), Productive performance.

Article Citation:

Fadhil R. Abbas, Huda Q. Al-Himdany and Ahmed A. Allaw

reproduction in all medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Effect of adding different levels of Oleobiotec to the diet on production performance of broiler

Journal of Research in Ecology (2018) 6(2): 2025-2031

Dates:

Received: 02 Aug 2018

Accepted: 29 Aug 2018

This article is governed by the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/

licenses/by/4.0), which gives permission for unrestricted use, non-commercial, distribution and

Published: 20 Sep 2018

Web Address:

http://ecologyresearch.info/ documents/EC0627.pdf

Journal of Research in Ecology

An International Scientific Research Journal 2025-2031| JRE | 2018 | Vol 6 | No 2

www.ecologyresearch.info

INTRODUCTION

The continuous use of industrial antibiotics as growth promoters in poultry diets led to the emergence of resistant bacteria, which prompted Europe Union countries to ban their use in 2006 (Grashorn, 2010). The researchers encouraged the selection of many alternatives, including probiotics, prebiotics, organic acids, enzymes, herbs and medicinal plants. Most of these substances are natural sources that work towards enhancing the environment of digestive tract and enhance immunity through its role as antifungal and antibacterial (Panda et al., 2006). Using of medicinal plants as additives to the broiler diets has great importance due to their containment of effective substances such as flavins, glycosides, polyphenols, terpenoids and saponins (Tipu et al., 2006). Plant oils or extracts can be used as an alternative to antibiotics because these plants have antibacterial efficacy as well as their beneficial effect on the digestive system (Acamovic and Brooker, 2005; Wenk, 2006), and improve immune status and support growth and public health without toxic residues that may be caused by drugs and antibiotics (Toghyani et al., _ 2011).

The Oleobiotec[®], extracted from a number of medicinal plants and spices, has been used for nearly 12 years of research and experiments which contain effective substances that increase the metabolic rate of food and supply the body with oxygen and nutrients (Noaman and Allaw, 2016). It also contains phenolic compounds such as thymol and carvacrol, which are highly effective antioxidants and thus protect red blood cells from damage caused by oxidation as antioxidant activity improves through the transport of oxygen needed to form hemoglobin (Jamroz and Kamel, 2002; Bolukbasi and Erhan, 2007) as well as contains both terpenoids and flavonoids within essential oils and spices which acts as anti-inflammatory and work to maintain the level of white blood cells within the normal range (Blomhorff, 2004; Anderson, 2008), also it contains an

active substances as cinnamaldehyde which has a significant role in enhancing the function of the immune system in the bird body (Yahaya *et al.*, 2012). Furthermore, the Oleobiotec[®] contain shogaol and zingerone that's act as antibacterial agents. Oleobiotec[®] product is a mixture of extracts of six medicinal plants, three of which are essential oils of each of the plants of marjoram, thyme, cinnamon and the other three components are powder of spices for each of the ginger, turmeric and pepper plants, this product specialized for poultry nutrition is a fine yellow powder added to the broiler diets by 100 g/ton (Noirot and Phodé, 2009). Therefore, the aim of this study was to know the effect of adding the Oleobiotec[®] product to the diets on the production performance of the broiler chicks.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted in the poultry field of the Department of Animal Production at the College of

Table 1. Ingredient composition and chemical analysisof the basal diet

S. No	Feed ingredient (%)	Starter (1-21 d)	Finisher (22-35 d)	
1	Yellow corn	30	30	
2	Wheat	27.7	35.5	
3	Soybean meal	28	20	
4	Protein concentration ⁽¹⁾	10	10	
5	Sunflower oil	3	3	
6	Limestone	1	1.2	
7	Salt	0.3	0.3	
	Total	100	100	
	Calculated chemical struc	ture ⁽²⁾		
8	Crude protein	22.74	20.16	
9	ME, kcal/kg feed	3078	3125.2	
10	Lysine	1.02	0.95	
11	Methionine + cystine (%)	0.83	0.75	
12	Calcium	0.97	1.0	
13	Available phosphorus	0.41	0.48	

⁽¹⁾ Life Company – Jordan, contain 44% protein 2800 kcal, 12% fat, 25% ash, 5% calcium, 2.9% phosphorus, 2.55% Methionine + Cystine, 2.8% lysine.

⁽²⁾Chemical structure was calculated according to the analysis of diet material found in NRC (1994)

S. No	Trootmonts -	Body weight (g/bird)					
	Treatments -	1 week	2 week	3 week	4 week	5 week	
1	T_1	169.00±0.00 ^a	446.00 ± 4.00	856.33±12.81	1404.00 ± 18.01	1963.67±18.01 ^b	
2	T_2	161.33 ± 2.72^{ab}	458.67±10.33	846.00±24.55	1422.00 ± 21.00	2015.33±15.33 ^{ab}	
3	T ₃	160.33±1.33 ^b	462.67±6.33	864.67±14.67	1374.67±32.62	$2083.00{\pm}27.15^{a}$	
4	T_4	167.67±3.71 ^a	454.33±8.98	862.33±15.14	1383.00±29.14	2070.33±4.63 ^a	
5	Significant	*	NS	NS	NS	*	

 Table 2. Effect of adding different levels of Oleobiotec[®] to the broiler diets on average live body weight (mean ± standard error)

 a,b Means within the same column with different letters are significantly different P<0.05.

NS: Not significant

Agriculture, Al-Qasim Green University for the period from 12 February to 18 March 2016 to investigate the effects of adding different levels of Oleobiotec® products to the diets on the production performance of broiler. In this study, 192 chicks (Ross 308) of one day old were randomly distributed to four treatments with 48 chicks per treatment, each treatment included three replicates of 16 birds. Chicks were weighed and distributed to the treatments which were: T_1 control group (without addition) and the treatments T₂, T₃ and T₄ received Oleobiotec[®] at concentrations 100, 150 and 200 mg/kg feed respectively. The chicks were breed in ground cages its dimensions are 2x2 m covered with sawdust, water introduced to the chicks ad libitum while diet was given to the chicks as the starter and finisher diets (Table 1). The experiment continued for 35 days and the productivity traits were measured for each week of the experiment which included body weight, weight gain, feed consumption and Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR). Completely Randomized Design (CRD) were used to study the effect of treatments on the studied traits and comparing the significant differences between the means using Duncan test (Duncan, 1955), while the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) was used to analyze the data statistically (SAS, 2010).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 shows the effect of adding different concentration of Oleobiotec to broiler diet on the mean of body weight during the weeks of the experiment. The results revealed a significant increasing (P<0.05) for the treatments T_1 and T_4 in body weight which were (169.0 and 167.6 g) respectively, compared with T3 that's recorded less mean of body weight 160.3 g in the first week of experiment. While no significant differences were found between all treatments for the period two, three and four weeks of experiment. Whereas, at the five week period the results showed a significant in-

 Table 3. Effect of adding different levels of Oleobiotec[®] to the broiler diet on body weight gain (mean ± standard error)

S. No	Treatments	Body weight gain (g/bird)						
		1 week	2 week	3 week	4 week	5 week	(1-5) week	
1	T_1	127.00±0.33 ^a	277.00±4.33	410.33±12.47	547.67±5.29	559.67±43.55 ^b	1921.67±41.83 ^b	
2	T_2	$11933 \pm .2.64^{ab}$	297.34±7.88	387.33±18.98	576.00±18.73	593.33±36.33 ^{ab}	1973.33±15.17 ^{ab}	
3	T_3	118.33±1.33 ^b	302.34±5.89	402.00±12.74	510.00±21.28	708.33±29.45 ^a	2041.00±29.45 ^a	
4	T_4	125.67±3.71 ^{ab}	286.66±12.11	408.67±10.89	520.67±43.06	687.33±24.63 ^a	2028.33±4.93 ^a	
5	Significant	*	NS	NS	NS	*	*	

^{a,b} Means within the same column with different letters are significantly different P<0.05.

N.S: Not significant

S. No T 1 2 3 4 5	Treatments	Feed consumption rate (g/bird)						
		1 week	2 week	3 week	4 week	5 week	(1-5) week	
1	T_1	155.33±3.92	469.67 ± 6.35^{a}	636.00±5.29	909.67±42.60	1279.00±52.55	3449.67±37.49	
2	T_2	152.67±2.02	$435.33{\pm}6.38^{b}$	657.33±10.33	896.00±23.06	1224.33±53.18	3365.67±40.22	
3	T_3	155.00±2.64	437.33 ± 3.33^{b}	638.67±2.91	870.00±10.69	1343.67±28.69	3444.67±27.76	
4	T_4	162.66±6.17	440.00 ± 2.00^{b}	635.33±9.21	898.67±30.96	1307.00 ± 37.87	3443.67±36.24	
5	Significant	N.S	*	NS	NS	NS	NS	

Table 4. Effect of adding different levels of Oleobiotec[®] to the broiler diet on feed consumption rate (g/bird) (mean±standard error)

^{a,b} Means within the same column with different letters are significantly different P<0.05.

NS: Not significant

crease (P<0.05) for the treatments T_3 and T_4 compared with T_1 which were 208.3, 2070.3 and 1963.6 g respectively.

The results of effect adding different concentration of Oleobiotec to the broiler diet on weekly weight gain (g) are summarizing in Table 3. The result showed a significant increase (P<0.05) in weight gain (g) for the treatment T₁ at one week of experiment compared with T₃ which were 127.0 and 118.3 g, respectively. While the results revealed no significant differences between the treatments at two, three and 4 four weeks of experiment. Whereas, the treatments T₃ and T₄ indicate to a significant increasing (P<0.05) in the weight gain compared with the treatment T₁ at five week of experiment which were 708.3, 687.3 and 559.6 g respectively. Also, the cumulative weight gain revealed to a significant increasing (P<0.05) for the treatments T₃ and T₄ compared with control treatment T₁. The results of Table 4 manifest the effect of the addition of Oleobiotec to broiler diet on the weekly and accumulative feed consumption rate. The treatments T_2 , T_3 and T_4 appeared a significant decrease (P<0.05) in the feed consumption rate during the second week compared with control group T_1 . Also, the results revealed no significant differences between the experiment treatments in the accumulative feed consumption rate (1-35 day).

Table 5 shows the effect of supplementation different levels of Oleobiotec product to the broiler diet on Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR). At one week of experiment the results appeared a significant increase (P<0.05) in the FCR for the treatment T₃ compared with T₁ which were 1.3 and 1.22 respectively. While the results indicate to a significant decrease (P<0.05) in the FCR for the treatments T₂ and T₃ compared with control group T₁ for the period two week which recorded 1.46, 1.44 and 1.69 respectively. No significant differences

 Table 5. Effect of adding different levels of Oleobiotec® to the broiler diet on feed conversion ratio (g feed/g gain) (mean±standard error)

S. No	Treatments	Feed conversion ratio (g feed / g gain)					
		1 week	2 week	3 week	4 week	5 week	(1-5) week
1	T_1	1.22 ± 0.02^{b}	$1.69{\pm}0.05^{a}$	1.55 ± 0.06	1.66 ± 0.06	2.28±0.11 ^a	1.79±0.03 ^a
2	T_2	$1.27 \pm 0.02_{ab}$	$1.46{\pm}0.04^{b}$	$1.69{\pm}0.09$	1.55 ± 0.05	2.06 ± 0.11^{ab}	$1.70{\pm}0.01^{ab}$
3	T_3	$1.31{\pm}0.02^{a}$	$1.44{\pm}0.02^{b}$	1.58 ± 0.05	1.70±0.06	1.89 ± 0.11^{b}	$1.68{\pm}0.03^{b}$
4	T_4	$1.29{\pm}0.01^{ab}$	$1.53{\pm}0.06^{ab}$	1.55 ± 0.05	1.72±0.17	$1.90{\pm}0.12^{b}$	$1.69{\pm}0.02^{ab}$
5	Significant	*	*	N.S	N.S	*	*

 a,b Means within the same column with different letters are significantly different P<0.05. NS: Not significant

were found between all treatments at the third and fourth week of age, while in the fifth week the results observed a significant decrease (P<0.05) for the treatments T_3 and T_4 which reached 1.9 for each compared with the control treatment T_1 which reached 2.29. As well as, accumulative FCR for the period from 1 to 35 days, showed a significant decrease (P<0.05) for the treatment T_3 compared with control group which were 1.68 and 1.79 respectively.

From the results of the present study, it was concluded that all enhancements observed in the productive traits for the treatments T_2 , T_3 and T_4 may be due to containing the previous treatment on different levels of Oleobiotec® product and its containing a number of medicinal plants and its active compounds improved the taste of diet and improve appetite and health of birds, then improved digestion and absorption, which had a positive effect on the qualities of productivity.

The birds that fed diet supplemented by 150 and 200 mg Oleobiotec[®]/kg feed enhanced the amount of feed consumed then improve the FCR which increased the birds weight and this significant enhancement in productive parameters may be due to containing the Oleobiotec® product to many effective compounds that acts as antioxidant (Ruby et al., 1995; Botsoglou et al., 2004; Bozin et al., 2006). The oils found in the Oleobiotec® formula are rich in essential fatty acids that enough the needs of body for growth. These ingredients also improve the nutritional value of nutrients trough stimulation the secretion of a number of digestive enzymes such as lipase, amylase and protease which has an important role in the process of digestion and absorption through its prominent role in the analysis of fat, carbohydrate and protein components (Yamahara et al., 1990; Namagirilakshmi, 2005; Yamamoto and Gaynor, 2006). The Oleobiotec® product contains aromatic oils that contain an active ingredients as carvacrol, thymol and eugenol, which in turn stimulates digestion and increases the digestibility of nutrients as well as its role as

antimicrobial, antiviral, antifungal and gas expeller, and these active substances promote metabolism of proteins, carbohydrates and fats, and thus increase the rate of growth (Lee *et al.*, 2004; Razooqi, 2011; Saeid *et al.*, 2011). These factors were reflected in the improvement of the productive parameters of the birds. These results agreed with Noaman and Allaw (2016), that's confirmed the existence of a significant enhancement in the productive parameters when fed the broiler chicks on a capsules containing different levels of Oleobiotec® at 50, 100 and 150 ppm three times per week.

CONCLUSION

It was concluded that supplementation of Oleobiotec to the broilers enhanced the productive traits of the birds such as body weight, weight gain and feed conversion ratio.

REFERENCES

Acamovic T and Brooker JD. 2005. Biochemistry of plant secondary metabolites and their effects in animals. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 64(3): 403-412.

Anderson RA. 2008. Chromium and polyphenols from cinnamon improve insulin sensitivity. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 67(1): 48-53.

Blomhroff R. 2004. Antioksidants and oksidatif stress. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen,* 124(12): 1643-1645.

Bolukbasi S and Erhan M. 2007. Effect of dietary thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*) on laying hens performance and *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) concentration in feces. *International Journal of Natural and Engineering Sciences*, 1(2): 55-58.

Botsoglou NA, Christaki E, Florou–Paneri P, Giannenas I, Papageorgiou G and Spais AB. 2004. The effect of a mixture of herbal essential oils or α – tocopheryl acetate on performance parameters And oxidation of body lipid in broilers. *South African* Journal of Animal Society, 34(1): 52-61.

Bozin B, Mimica-Dukic N, Simin N and Anackov G. 2006. Characterization of the volatile composition of essential oils of some lamiaceae spices and the antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of the entire oils. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 54(5): 1822-1828.

Duncan BD. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F test. *Biometrics*, 11: 1-42.

Grashorn MA. 2010. Use of phytobiotics in broilernutrition an alternative to in feed antibiotics. *Journal of Animal and Feed Sciences*, 19(3): 338-347.

Jamroz D and Kamel C. 2002. Plant extracts enhance broiler performance: In non-ruminant nutrition: antimicrobial agents and plant extracts on immunity, health and performance. *Journal of Animal Sciences*, 80(1): 41 -46.

Lee KW, Evarts H and Beynen AC. 2004. Essential oil in broiler nutrition. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 3(12): 738-752.

Namagirilakshmi S. 2005. Turmeric as nutraceutical to improve performance. M. Sc. thesis submitted to Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chennai, India.

[NRC] National Research Council. 1994. Nutrient requirement of poultry then. National Academy press. 9th revised ed., Washington, DC. USA. 176 p.

Noaman HA and Allaw AA. 2016. The effect of the drenching vegetable preparation Oleobiotec® in the productive performance of broiler Ross 308. *Journal of Tikrit University for Agriculture Sciences*, 16(1): 88-95.

Noirot V and Phodé SA. 2009. A natural alternative to poultry growth promoters. *Journal of International Poultry Production*, 17(8): 15-17.

Panda K, Rama Rao SV and Raju MVLN. 2006. Natural growth promoters have potential in poultry feeding systems. *Feed Technology*, 10(8): 23-25.

Razooqi AJ. 2011. Effect of addition ginger rhizomes powder to the water feed on productive performance of broiler. *Diyala Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 3(2): 558-567.

Ruby AJ, Kuttan G and Babu KD. 1995. Anti-tumor and antioxidant activity of natural curcuminoids. *Cancer Letters*, 94(1): 79-83.

Saeid JM, Ismail IH, Al-Baddy MA, Mohamed AB and Shelah AA. 2011. The use of some plant extracts as growth promoter in broiler chickens. *Journal of Tikrit University For Agriculture Sciences*, 11(2): 306-319.

SAS. 2010. SAS/STAT Users Guider for Personal Computers Release 901 SAS. Institute Inc. Cary and N.C USA.

Tipu MA, Akhtar MS, Anjum MI, Raja ML. 2006. New dimension of medicinal plants as animal feed. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*, 26(3): 144-148.

Toghyani M, Toghyani M, Zamanizad M and Shahryar HA. 2011. Assessment of performance, immune responses, serum metabolites and prevalence of leg weakness in broiler chicks submitted to early age water restriction. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 43(6): 1183-1189.

Wenk C. 2006. Are herbs, botanicals and other related substances adequate replacements for antimicrobial growth promoters? In: Barug, D., de Jong, J.,Kies, A.K., Verstegen, M.W.A. (Eds.), Antimicrobial Growth Promoters. Wageningen Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, 329-340 p.

Yahaya O, Yabefa JA, Umar IO, Datshen MM, Egbunu ZK and Ameh J. 2012. Combine antimicrobial effect of ginger and honey on some human pathogens. British Journal of Pharmacology and Toxicology, 3(5): 237-239.

Yamahara C, Huang QR, Li YH, Xu L and Fujimura H. 1990. Gastrointestinal motility enhancing effect of ginger and its active constituents. *Chemical and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, 38(2): 430-431.

Yamamoto A and Gaynor D. 2006. Therapeutic potential of inhibition of the NFKB pathway in the treatment of inflammation 7 Cancer. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 107(2): 135-142.

Submit your articles online at ecologyresearch.info

Advantages

- Easy online submission
- Complete Peer review
- Affordable Charges
- Quick processing
- Extensive indexing
- You retain your copyright

submit@ecologyresearch.info www.ecologyresearch.info/Submit.php.